

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2023-388-E

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	<b>DIRECT TESTIMONY OF</b>
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	)	<b>JOHN R. PANIZZA</b>
For Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric	)	<b>FOR DUKE ENERGY</b>
Rates and Charges	)	<b>CAROLINAS, LLC</b>

---

**I. INTRODUCTION**

**Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

A. My name is John R. Panizza, and my business address is 525 South Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.

**Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC ("DEBS") as Director, Tax Operations. DEBS provides various administrative and other services to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("DEC" or "Company") and other affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy").

**Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS DIRECTOR, TAX OPERATIONS.**

A. The Tax Department is responsible for maintaining and reconciling Duke Energy's tax accounts and for the reporting and disclosure of tax-related matters.

As Director, Tax Operations, I have overall responsibility for corporate tax compliance, and accounting for Duke Energy and its operating subsidiaries, including the Company. The Duke Energy Tax Operations Department, which I manage, is staffed by the public accounting firm Ernst & Young to provide efficient and technical tax services, and is responsible for all federal, state, and local income tax returns for Duke Energy, including various joint ventures if Duke Energy is the designated tax matters partner.

1   **Q.   PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL**  
2   **BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

3   A.   I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Montclair State  
4   University and a Master's degree in Taxation from Seton Hall University. I am  
5   a Certified Public Accountant in the state of New Jersey. My professional work  
6   experience began in 1989 as an auditor with the global public accounting firm,  
7   KPMG. From 1993 to 2002, I held a number of financial positions, primarily in  
8   the telecommunications and automotive industries (AT&T Corp. and Collins &  
9   Aikman Inc.). In 2002, I joined Duke Energy and have held a number of  
10   financial positions of increasing responsibilities, including various accounting  
11   and tax related positions. In March 2018, after a three-year rotation primarily  
12   in Corporate Accounting, I moved back into the role of Director, Tax  
13   Operations, a position that I had previously held.

14   **Q.   HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC**  
15   **SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA ("COMMISSION")?**

16   A.   Yes, I previously filed testimony before this Commission in Docket No. 2018-  
17   318-E. I have also filed testimony on behalf of Duke Energy operating  
18   subsidiaries in proceedings before utility commissions in Florida, Indiana,  
19   Kentucky, and North Carolina.

1                                    **II.     PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

2     **Q.     WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**  
3     **PROCEEDING?**

4     A.     My testimony addresses certain aspects of the recently enacted Inflation  
5             Reduction Act of 2022 (the “IRA”), which introduces new, and expands  
6             existing, federal tax benefits, to incentivize the development and use of  
7             renewable and alternative carbon-free energy sources. My testimony focuses on  
8             the IRA’s Nuclear Production Tax Credit (the “NPTC”), provided for under §  
9             45U of the Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”), in support of the Company’s  
10            deferral request relating to NPTCs, which is detailed in the testimony of DEC  
11            Witness LaWanda Jiggetts.

12                            In this connection, my testimony discusses the current uncertainties  
13                            attendant upon calculation of the tax credits as we await definitive guidance  
14                            from the United States Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”) with respect to  
15                            key aspects of the NPTC. As described by Witness Jiggetts, this has prompted  
16                            DEC to seek a deferral of future NPTC impacts, net of costs associated with  
17                            obtaining and maximizing the value of the tax credits, in this proceeding.  
18                            Nevertheless, despite the further clarity from Treasury that is needed to make  
19                            NPTC calculations, DEC believes that the low-cost operational performance of  
20                            its existing nuclear fleet will position Duke Energy to receive a substantial tax  
21                            benefit, up to several hundred million dollars a year beginning in 2024.

1   **Q.    AS BACKGROUND TO THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY,**  
2       **PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE IRA AND DISCUSS ITS**  
3       **APPLICATION TO THIS PROCEEDING.**

4    A.    On August 16, 2022, President Biden signed the IRA into law. As I note above,  
5       the IRA introduces new and expands existing federal tax credits that are  
6       intended to incentivize the development and use of renewable and alternative  
7       carbon-free energy sources. Of relevance here, the IRA creates a new, zero-  
8       emission nuclear power production credit under new IRC § 45U for producing  
9       electricity at a qualified nuclear power facility that is sold by the taxpayer to an  
10      unrelated person and introduces a two-tier credit structure with a base rate and  
11      a total bonus rate achieved if prevailing wage requirements are met. The novelty  
12      of these provisions results in taxpayer uncertainty as to proper application and  
13      the need for further clarity from Treasury as to the incremental increase in costs  
14      to comply with certain of the eligibility requirements.

15               As I understand it, in this case these provisions of the IRA do not impact  
16      the Company's revenue requirement in this case as the NPTCs are earned based  
17      upon actual nuclear production from 2024 through 2032. Nevertheless, as  
18      explained in the testimony of Witness Jiggetts, the Company is requesting  
19      Commission approval to use the benefits associated with future NPTCs  
20      generated under the IRA, net of costs associated with obtaining and maximizing  
21      the value of these tax benefits, to maximize the benefit to DEC customers by  
22      offsetting certain future costs and then defer to a regulatory liability any

1 remaining benefits to be considered in a future rate case. Accordingly, my  
2 testimony describing the NPTC supports this request.

3 **III. NUCLEAR PRODUCTION TAX CREDIT**

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE A PRODUCTION TAX CREDIT (“PTC”).**

5 A. For electricity generation, a PTC provides the generator with a tax credit for the  
6 production of electricity, provided certain conditions are met. The PTC is a per-  
7 kilowatt-hour (“kWh”) tax credit for electricity produced at a qualified facility  
8 over a certain period of time (normally 10 years, although the applicable NPTC  
9 period is 9 years, through 2032). The tax credit has the effect of reducing the  
10 electricity producer’s federal income tax liability, thereby reducing costs for a  
11 regulated utility’s customers. The NPTC thus provides a federal subsidy for the  
12 production of electricity from the Company’s existing nuclear fleet, assuming  
13 the generator meets the requirements of the credit.

14 The IRA permits transfer of PTCs generally (and the NPTC is no  
15 exception) under new IRC § 6418. This provision permits an eligible taxpayer  
16 to elect to transfer all (or any portion specified in the election) of an eligible  
17 credit to an unrelated transferee taxpayer. The transfer may have the effect of  
18 accelerating monetization of these PTCs, which may potentially benefit  
19 customers, but such acceleration will likely come at a cost, both in terms of  
20 transaction costs (*e.g.*, legal fees) as well as a discount on the total credit value  
21 to induce the transferee to accept the transfer. In addition, transfers are subject  
22 to various restrictions. The transfer must be paid in cash, not be included in the  
23 income of the recipient taxpayer, and not be deducted by the paying taxpayer.

1 Further, the transfer must be a one-time transfer (*i.e.*, the transferee cannot make  
2 a subsequent election to further transfer any portion of the transferred credit).  
3 The taxpayer must elect to transfer the credits no later than the due date  
4 (including extensions) for the tax return for the tax year for which the credit is  
5 determined, and any election, once made, is irrevocable.

6 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE NEW § 45U NUCLEAR**  
7 **PRODUCTION TAX CREDIT.**

8 A. The IRA creates a new, zero-emission nuclear power production credit under  
9 new IRC § 45U for producing electricity at a qualified nuclear power facility  
10 that is sold by the taxpayer to an unrelated person. A qualified nuclear power  
11 facility means any nuclear facility that: (1) is owned by the taxpayer and uses  
12 nuclear energy to produce electricity, (2) is not an advanced nuclear power  
13 facility as defined in IRC § 45J(d)(1); and (3) is placed in service before the  
14 enactment of IRC § 45U.

15 The new IRC § 45U tax credit is subject to a two-tiered credit regime,  
16 with a base credit amount of 0.3 cents per kWh, and a top, total bonus amount  
17 of up to 1.5 cents per kWh (assuming the prevailing wage requirements,  
18 described in more detail below, are met). The tax credit will also be reduced by  
19 16% of the excess of gross receipts from electricity produced and sold over  
20 \$0.025 multiplied by the amount of electricity sold, as calculated annually  
21 during the period of credit eligibility. Additionally, the new IRC § 45U tax  
22 credit, which is generally effective for electricity produced and sold after  
23 December 31, 2023 (in tax years beginning after such date), does not apply to

1 tax years beginning after December 31, 2032. As discussed further below,  
2 uncertainty exists related to the application of § 45U, specifically, the proper  
3 application of the gross receipts test. DEC is awaiting guidance and  
4 interpretations from Treasury in order to be able to determine the precise level  
5 of NPTC for which DEC's nuclear generating units could be eligible.  
6 Additionally, the amount of NPTC earned by DEC will be dependent upon  
7 actual nuclear generation production in future years which can vary depending  
8 upon planned and unplanned nuclear outages.

9 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN FURTHER THE PREVAILING WAGE**  
10 **REQUIREMENTS THAT TAXPAYERS MUST MEET IN ORDER TO**  
11 **OBTAIN THE NPTC BONUS CREDIT AMOUNT.**

12 A. As previously stated, the IRA contains a two-tiered credit-amount structure for  
13 the § 45U NPTC. If taxpayers meet the prevailing wage requirements the lower  
14 NPTC base amount of 0.3 cents per kWh can be increased to five times that, or  
15 1.5 cents per kWh. In general, to satisfy the prevailing wage requirement, all  
16 laborers, mechanics and workers – including Company employees as well as  
17 third party contractors or subcontractors – are to be paid the “prevailing wage”  
18 during project construction (and, during the credit term, for repairs and  
19 alterations).

20 Notice 2022-61, issued November 30, 2022, clarifies that the new  
21 prevailing wage requirements apply to laborers and mechanics that are  
22 employed by the taxpayer and its contractors or subcontractors, and are engaged  
23 in the construction, alteration or repair of a qualified facility. Additionally,



1 Notice 2022-61 provides that the prevailing wage must be at least the amount  
2 paid in that locality for similar services, as most recently determined by the  
3 Secretary of Labor.

4 **Q. IS THERE A COST TO DEC TO COMPLY WITH THE PREVAILING**  
5 **WAGE REQUIREMENTS?**

6 A. Yes. In order to meet the prevailing wage requirement, laborers and mechanics  
7 that are employed by the taxpayer and its contractors or subcontractors, and are  
8 engaged in the construction, alteration or repair of a qualified facility, must be  
9 paid at least the prevailing wage (*i.e.*, an amount paid in that locality for similar  
10 services, as most recently determined by the Secretary of Labor) during project  
11 construction and through the credit term for any necessary repairs or alterations.

12 Therefore, it is likely that DEC will experience incremental cost in  
13 connection with meeting the prevailing wage requirement, although that cost is  
14 expected to be more than offset by increased tax credit amounts, assuming the  
15 clarity attendant upon the calculation of the credit that I further describe below  
16 is received in a manner favorable to DEC. We believe the majority of the  
17 expected incremental increase in costs will stem from the contractors serving  
18 as laborers or mechanics engaged in qualified nuclear facility construction,  
19 repairs, and alterations. Duke Energy incurs over \$1 billion a year in contractor  
20 expenses related to its nuclear facility operations, and, as it currently stands  
21 under existing contractual terms, some of its contractors are not currently  
22 paying a “prevailing wage” to the laborers and mechanics provided under these  
23 contracts to assist in DEC’s nuclear facility outage responses and other similar

1 operations. The delta between the current hourly rate and the increased hourly  
2 rate necessary to meet the prevailing wage requirement will necessitate contract  
3 modifications, with an increased cost to DEC as a result for the provision of  
4 these services. To illustrate with one example, currently one of DEC's larger  
5 nuclear labor contractors is paying its journeyman electricians \$28 per hour.  
6 However, the prevailing wage determined by the Secretary of Labor in the  
7 county where nuclear facility outage services are being performed is \$44.88.  
8 This delta of \$16.88 multiplied by the hundreds of expected hours to be incurred  
9 by these laborers and mechanics will result in increased costs to maximize the  
10 benefits of the NPTC. It should be noted that this example is not unique to the  
11 electrician labor classification; similar situations exist throughout the labor  
12 classifications utilized by Duke Energy's nuclear labor contractors. However,  
13 until these contracts are renegotiated, and the actual time spent on outage related  
14 repairs and alterations is incurred, there exists uncertainty as to an appropriate  
15 method to estimate these expenses.

16 Additionally, there will likely be administrative costs associated with  
17 tracking continued compliance with the prevailing wage requirement, and  
18 uncertainty as to the resources required to document and ensure continued  
19 compliance during the credit term. As Witness Jiggetts explains in her  
20 testimony, the Company's proposal would net the incremental costs to obtain  
21 and maximize NPTCs against the benefits received from the tax credits.

1   **Q.     CAN YOU EXPLAIN FURTHER THE UNCERTAINTY THAT EXISTS**  
2       **RELATED TO THE NPTC GROSS RECEIPTS TEST?**

3   A.    Yes. The NPTC will be reduced by 16% of the excess of gross receipts from  
4        electricity produced and sold over \$0.025 multiplied by the amount of  
5        electricity sold, as calculated annually during the period of credit eligibility –  
6        providing more benefit to lower-cost nuclear production facilities. Simply put,  
7        NPTCs are reduced ratably where the average price of electricity sold during  
8        the year exceeds \$25/MWh, with \$43.75/MWh (adjusted annually for inflation)  
9        being the point at which the credit phases out completely. But it is impossible  
10       to calculate the precise contour of the NPTC until one knows how Treasury is  
11       going to define “gross receipts” in the application of this test. This is particularly  
12       relevant for a regulated public utility like DEC, as I explain below.

13               The average annual price per MWh is derived by dividing the annual  
14       output from the nuclear facility by the gross receipts from that output. For  
15       regulated utilities, such gross receipts are not explicit for output from a given  
16       nuclear facility and instead are generally inherent in customer rates that are  
17       established or approved by a public utility commission under a cost-of-service  
18       methodology that allows the utility to recover the cost to own and operate the  
19       generation (nuclear and non-nuclear), transmission, and distribution assets it  
20       uses to serve its customers. Until addressed through forthcoming Treasury  
21       guidance, significant uncertainty exists as to how a regulated utility should  
22       determine its gross receipts from electricity produced and sold from a given  
23       nuclear facility.

1 In sum, DEC expects the electricity it sells during the period in which  
2 the NPTC is in effect (*i.e.*, from 2024-2032) to qualify for the credit. However,  
3 the actual credit amount could vary significantly and be anywhere from \$0 to  
4 \$15/MWh because the credit rate with respect to any such facility effectively  
5 starts to phase down, ratably, when the average price per MWh at which the  
6 facility's annual output is sold exceeds \$25 (without regard to any inflation  
7 adjustment) and effectively reaches \$0/MWh when such price per MWh  
8 reaches \$43.75 (assuming no inflation adjustment to the credit rate or the price  
9 at which the credit rate effectively starts to phase down). The ultimate amount  
10 of the PTC earned by eligible facilities will be determined annually based upon  
11 the \$/MWh at which production is sold, and the precise contours of this  
12 calculation are dependent upon Treasury guidance on the "gross receipts" test.

#### 13 IV. CONCLUSION

14 **Q. HOW DO YOU ANTICIPATE THE § 45U NPTC WILL IMPACT DEC?**

15 A. As noted above, DEC anticipates that it will qualify for the IRC § 45U NPTC  
16 related to its existing nuclear facilities, and further anticipates that the credits  
17 will provide a substantial customer benefit, but at this time is unable to estimate  
18 the potential impact due to continued uncertainty regarding proper application  
19 of this credit framework. Additionally, these NPTCs do not impact the revenue  
20 requirement in this case as the NPTCs are earned based upon actual future  
21 nuclear facility production from 2024 through 2032. As further detailed in  
22 Witness Jiggetts' testimony, the Company is seeking an accounting order to  
23 defer any future NPTC impacts, so as to ensure that customers ultimately

1           receive the benefits of any future NPTCs generated, net of the costs associated  
2           with obtaining and maximizing the value of these tax credits.

3   **Q.     DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

4   A.     Yes. It does.